

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,895	10/16/2003	Hirofumi Onishi	ALPINE.036AUS	7531	
MUDAMATSI	7590 01/23/2008	EXAMINER			
MURAMATSU & ASSOCIATES 114 Pacifica Suite 310 Irvine, CA 92618			MANCHO, RONNIE M		
			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
 , , .		•	3663		
•					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/686,895	ONISHI, HIROFUMI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ronnie Mancho	3663		

	North C Mariono	1 0000	1
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendmentice of Appeal (with appeal feature with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	nt, affidavit, or other evide e) in compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the	mailing date of the final rejec	tion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		•
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding an shortened statutory period for rep than three months after the mail	nount of the fee. The approp ly originally set in the final Of	oriate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of t	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in below 	nsideration and/or search (se w);	e NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			,
, , <u> </u>	•	my rejected ciaims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		on Compliant Amendment	+ /PTOL -324)
_		on-compliant Amendmen	((F TOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		arate, timely filed amendm	nent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		will be entered and an	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filin d sufficient reasons why the a	g a Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> affidavit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under	appeal and/or appellant for	ails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims a	after entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the applica	ation in condition for allow	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
CUONG	NGUYEN EXAMINER	,	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/686,895

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendments do not cure all the 112 issues cited in the final rejection dated 3/22/07, e.g "a type of", "the type of", etc. The phrase, "a business type" is new matter. Applicant does not set forth support for said amendment. This is a second advisory action sent to applicant. Applicant should refrain from filling multiple advisory actions without filling an RCE or an appeal brief.